The Avogadro Group, LLC

Source Emissions Testing and Emissions Specialists

EGU MACT Emission Testing and Monitoring Requirements

Kevin Crosby, The Avogadro Group, LLC Antioch CA, Portland OR, Medford OR, Phoenix AZ (not affiliated with Avogadro Environmental Inc. in PA)

McIlvaine Hot Topic Hour, February 9, 2012

Testing and Initial Compliance Requirements

Performance Tests to demonstrate compliance

OR

- CEMS (for HCI, HF, SO₂, Hg) or Hg sorbent traps
- PM CEMS or CPMS

(continuous parameter monitoring system)

(All valid data from first 30 days of unit operations with a certified CEMS, PM CEMS or PM CPMS)

Performance Tests

- Filterable PM (EPA Method 5) *surrogate for metals* (Alternately, non-Hg metals EPA Method 29)
- Hg by CEMS or sorbent traps (Method 30B)
- HCI (EPA Method 26 or 320, or 26A if droplets) (Alternately, if unit has FGD, SO₂ by CEMS; valid data from first 30 days)
 OR use HCI CEMS; valid data from first 30 days
- Oil-fired units; same as above, except:
 - no Hg, just FPM or metals
 - HF as well as HCI (test or CEMS) or in some cases, show fuel moisture < 1%

Performance Tests

- Other possibilities:
 - Low Emitting EGU test to qualify as LEE (advantage: less frequent testing)
 - Previous recent test results may be OK
- PM CPMS may reduce testing frequency; annual rather than quarterly
- PM CEMS certification and audits
- Note: Dioxins and Furans work practice standards optimize combustion, etc.

Performance Test Issues

- You might include testing for other issues in the same program – PM2.5, RATA, etc.
 (be sure the test methods chosen will cover all the regulations and objectives)
- Test run times must be sufficient for detection
 - some of these limits are very low!
 - Run times may be long (4 hours plus)
- There are options (FPM or metals? HCl or SO₂? CEMS or test?) to consider and plan

Continuous Compliance Requirements

- If CEMS used for initial compliance:
 - use CEMS with QA requirements similar
 to 40 CFR Part 75 (annual RATA and quarterly audits)
- If stack tests used for initial compliance:
 Quarterly tests FPM (or metals), HCI/HF
- Exceptions...

Continuous Compliance Requirements

- Exceptions...
- PM CPMS with Operating Limit
 test annually, not quarterly
- If LEE for Hg, just annual Method 30B test
- If LEE for non-Hg, reduced test frequency
- If oil-fired using quarterly HCI/HF tests, need monitoring plan to ensure compliance
- If limited-use oil-fired, work practice standards with optimization (no testing)

Reporting and Recordkeeping

- Notifications 60 days prior to test, then compliance status 60 days after test
 Electronic Reporting Tool – WebFIRE (there have been issues with this system)
- Semi-annual compliance reports (unless using CEMS – ECMPS like Part 75)
- Keep records of notifications, reports, data, etc.

Low Emission Limits and Testing

- FPM 0.3 lb/MWh (existing units)

 0.007 lb/MWh (new units)
 this is only about, at best, 3X the detection limit for a 2-hour test run (so, longer runs may be advisable or required)
- Hg 0.013 lb/GWh (some existing units) 0.0002 lb/GWh (some new units)
 this is about 5 to 10X the practical limit for a 30-minute test run (so should be OK)

Summary

- Decide how to conduct performance test
 stack test, CEMS, CPMS?
 - FPM or metals, HCl or SO₂, etc.
- Decide how to determine continuous compliance (this likely drives the decision)
 - Quarterly tests, CEMS, CPMS, etc.
- Plan carefully operations, testing schedule, notifications and reporting
 - Quality testing will save overall cost!

Questions ???

Kevin Crosby

Technical Director Antioch, California

The Avogadro Group, LLC

Source Emissions Testing and Emissions Specialists