Update on Gasification: Plasma-Arc

Daniel Ripes

Corporate Finance Manager & Business Development PEAT International, Inc.

www.peat.com

Introduction

- Extreme heat generated breaks down waste to form synthesis gas (H2 & CO) and slag
- Heat required generated by plasma and not via combustion of all or part of the waste

Equations:

- C (fuel) + O2 \rightarrow CO2 + Heat (Exothermic)
- C + H2O (steam) \rightarrow CO + H2 (Exothermic)
- $C + CO2 \rightarrow 2CO$ (Endothermic)

At higher temps, endothermic reactions favored Some inject (supplemental fuels) petroleum coke Option promoted most widely for larger scale applications as syngas can be used to offset energy costs

Plasma Generating Devices



Waste Applications



- Revidency ingtensinamgeebte Hystanglasizicathem than NOx and SO2
- Halogensacon verse with the and an actacking reactor
- End Stind algoin a construction of the state of the same reactor
- Goal of either to create inert matrix and reduce metals to mixtures/alloys

Commercial Stage

• More than 150 companies market pyrolysis or gasification systems (~25 involved with plasma)

• Hazwaste systems: at least 5 countries

- Enviroarc (assisted gasification Norway)
- Europlasma (vitrification France/Japan)
- InEnTec (pyrolysis/gasification US)
- •MHI (vitrification Japan)
- •MSE (combustion US)
- PEAT (pyrolysis/gasification US/China/Taiwan)
- Phoenix Solutions (vitrification Japan)
- Pyrogenesis (combustion Canada/US)
- •ReTech (combustion US/Japan)
- Tetronics/APP (vitrification/gasification US/Europe)
- •Westinghouse Plasma/AlterNRG (gasification Japan/India/UK)

Recent Developments

- AlterNRG Tees Valley England 50 MW from 65,000 nm3/hr of syngas (est. 2014), 100 TPD & 40 TPD China projects in production (biomass and flyash)
- Plasco Ottawa, Canada 450 TPD MSW-to-electricity project (est. 2016) (two financing extensions rec'd)
- Pyrogensis Europe 10 TPD (est. 2014/15), 2nd aircraft system project pending
- InEnTec Oregon 25 TPD Gasification char-toelectricity with WM (installed 2012)
- PEAT: 1 TPD system in China, MedWaste (2013)

Commercialization Hurdles

| Claim | Viewpoint |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| Process wider range of feedstocks | Already implemented in various installations I ow calorific values/inorganics |
| Smaller physical footprint | •No moving grates/less gas volumes |
| | •Large scale may require # of reactors |
| Smaller environmental footprint | Higher thermal destruction, in some secondary/cracking needed |
| | Dioxins minimized/other residues require handling |
| End-products w/ no residual waste | •Slag re-use demonstrated |
| | Energy balances vary by feedstock |

Conclusion & Summary

- Plasma gaining recognition among stakeholders
- Technology viewed through various lenses
- Many claimed advantages yet to be proven on a full scale commercial basis
- More developed track record needed to alleviate marketplace concerns
- With more data from new installations (next 2-3 years), a clearer picture shall become available

Update on Gasification: Plasma-Arc E Mail: dripes@peat.com

Daniel Ripes

Corporate Finance Manager & Business Development PEAT International, Inc.

www.peat.com