

IPM Model – Updates to Cost and Performance for APC Technologies

Dry Sorbent Injection for SO₂/HCl Control Cost Development Methodology

Final

April 2017

Project 13527-001

Eastern Research Group, Inc.

Prepared by



55 East Monroe Street • Chicago, IL 60603 USA • 312-269-2000

LEGAL NOTICE

This analysis ("Deliverable") was prepared by Sargent & Lundy, L.L.C. ("S&L"), expressly for the sole use of Eastern Research Group, Inc. ("Client") in accordance with the agreement between S&L and Client. This Deliverable was prepared using the degree of skill and care ordinarily exercised by engineers practicing under similar circumstances. Client acknowledges: (1) S&L prepared this Deliverable subject to the particular scope limitations, budgetary and time constraints, and business objectives of the Client; (2) information and data provided by others may not have been independently verified by S&L; and (3) the information and data contained in this Deliverable are time sensitive and changes in the data, applicable codes, standards, and acceptable engineering practices may invalidate the findings of this Deliverable. Any use or reliance upon this Deliverable by third parties shall be at their sole risk.

This work was funded by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) through Eastern Research Group, Inc. (ERG) as a contractor and reviewed by ERG and EPA personnel.

DSI Cost Methodology

Purpose of Cost Algorithms for the IPM Model

The primary purpose of the cost algorithms is to provide generic order-of-magnitude costs for various air quality control technologies that can be applied to the electric power generating industry on a system-wide basis, not on an individual unit basis. Cost algorithms developed for the IPM model are based primarily on a statistical evaluation of cost data available from various industry publications as well as Sargent & Lundy's proprietary database and do not take into consideration site-specific cost issues. By necessity, the cost algorithms were designed to require minimal site-specific information and were based only on a limited number of inputs such as unit size, gross heat rate, baseline emissions, removal efficiency, fuel type, and a subjective retrofit factor.

The outputs from these equations represent the “average” costs associated with the “average” project scope for the subset of data utilized in preparing the equations. The IPM cost equations do not account for site-specific factors that can significantly affect costs, such as flue gas volume and temperature, and do not address regional labor productivity, local workforce characteristics, local unemployment and labor availability, project complexity, local climate, and working conditions. In addition, the indirect capital costs included in the IPM cost equations do not account for all project-related indirect costs, such as project contingency, that a facility would incur to install a retrofit control.

Technology Description

Dry sorbent injection (DSI) is a viable technology for moderate SO₂/HCl reduction on coal-fired boilers. Demonstrations and utility testing have shown SO₂/HCl removals greater than 80% for systems using sodium-based sorbents. The most commonly used sodium-based sorbent is Trona. However, if the goal is only HCl removal, the amount of sorbent injection will be significantly lower. In this case, Trona may still be the most commonly used reagent, but hydrated lime also has been employed in some situations. Because of Trona's high reactivity with SO₂, when this sorbent is used, significant SO₂ removal must occur before high levels of HCl removal can be achieved. Studies show, however, that hydrated lime is quite effective for HCl removal because the need for simultaneous SO₂ removal is much reduced. In either case, actual testing must be carried out before the permanent DSI system for SO₂ or HCl removal is designed.

The level of removal for Trona can vary from 0 to 90% depending on the Normalized Stoichiometric Ratio (NSR) and particulate capture device. NSR is defined as follows:

$$\frac{\frac{(\text{moles of Na injected})}{(\text{moles of SO}_2 \text{ in flue gas})}}{(\text{theoretical moles of Na required})}$$

DSI Cost Methodology

The required injection rate for alkali sorbents can vary depending on the required removal efficiency, NSR, and particulate capture device. The costs for an SO₂ mitigation system are primarily dependent on sorbent feed rate. This rate is a function of NSR and the required SO₂ removal (the latter is set by the utility and is not a function of unit size). Therefore, the required SO₂ removal is determined by the user-specified SO₂ emission limit, and the cost estimation is based on sorbent feed rate and not unit size. Because HCl concentrations are low compared with SO₂ concentrations, any unused reagent for SO₂ removal is assumed to be used for HCl removal, resulting in a very small change in the NSR used for SO₂ removal when HCl removal is the main goal.

The sorbent solids can be collected in either an ESP or a baghouse. Baghouses generally achieve greater SO₂ removal efficiencies than ESPs because the presence of filter cake on the bags allows for a longer reaction time between the sorbent solids and the flue gas. Thus, for a given Trona removal efficiency, the NSR is reduced when a baghouse is used for particulate capture.

The dry-sorbent capture ability is also a function of particle surface area. To increase the particle surface area, the sorbent must be injected into a relatively hot flue gas. Heating the solids produces micropores on the particle surface, which greatly improve the sulfur capture ability. For Trona, the sorbent should be injected into flue gas at temperatures above 275°F to maximize the micropore structure. However, if the flue gas is too hot (greater than 800°F), the solids may sinter, reducing their surface area and thus lowering the SO₂ removal efficiency of the sorbent.

Another way to increase surface area is to mechanically reduce the particle size by grinding the sorbent. Typically, Trona is delivered unmilled. The ore is ground such that the unmilled product has an average particle size of approximately 30 µm. Commercial testing has shown that the reactivity of the Trona can be increased when the sorbent is ground to produce particles smaller than 30 µm. In the cost estimation methodology, the Trona is assumed to be delivered in the unmilled state only. To mill the Trona, in-line mills are continuously used during the Trona injection process. Therefore, the delivered cost of Trona will not change; only the reactivity of the sorbent and amount used change when Trona is milled.

Ultimately, the NSR required for a given removal is a function of Trona particle size and particulate capture equipment. In the cost program, the user can choose either as-delivered Trona (approximately 30 µm average size) or in-line milled Trona (approximately 15 µm average size) for injection. The average Trona particle size and the type of particulate removal equipment both contribute to the predicted Trona feed rate.

DSI Cost Methodology

Establishment of the Cost Basis

For wet or dry FGD systems, sulfur removal is generally specified at the maximum achievable level. With those systems, costs are primarily a function of plant size and target sulfur removal rate. However, DSI systems are quite different. The major cost for the DSI system is the sorbent itself. The sorbent feed rate is a function of sulfur generation rate, particulate collection device, and removal efficiency. To account for all of the variables, the capital cost was established based on a sorbent feed rate, which is calculated from user input variables. Cost data for several DSI systems were reviewed and a relationship was developed for the capital costs of the system on a sorbent feed-rate basis.

Methodology

Inputs

Several input variables are required in order to predict future retrofit costs. The sulfur feed rate and NSR are the major variables for the cost estimate. The NSR is a function of the following:

- Removal efficiency,
- Sorbent particle size, and
- Particulate capture device.

A retrofit factor that equates to difficulty in construction of the system must be defined. The gross unit size and gross heat rate will factor into the amount of sulfur generated.

Based on commercial testing, removal efficiencies with DSI are limited by the particulate capture device employed. Trona, when captured in an ESP, typically removes 40 to 50% of SO₂ without an increase in particulate emissions, whereas hydrated lime may remove an even lower percentage of SO₂. A baghouse used with sodium-based sorbents generally achieves a higher SO₂ removal efficiency (70 to 90%) than that of an ESP. DSI technology, however, should not be applied to fuels with sulfur content greater than 2 lb SO₂/MMBtu.

Units with a baghouse and limited NO_x control that target a high SO₂ removal efficiency with sodium sorbents may experience a brown plume resulting from the conversion of NO to NO₂. The formation of NO₂ would then have to be addressed by adding an adsorbent, such as activated carbon, into the flue gas. However, many coal-fired units control NO_x to a sufficiently low level that a brown plume should not be an issue with sodium-based DSI. Therefore, this algorithm does not incorporate any additional costs to control NO₂.

DSI Cost Methodology

The equations provided in the cost methodology spreadsheet allow the user to input the required removal efficiency, within the limits of the technology. To simplify the correlation between efficiency and technology, SO₂ removal should be set at 50% with an ESP and 70% with a baghouse. The simplified sorbent NSR would then be calculated as follows:

For an ESP at the target 50% removal —

Unmilled Trona NSR = 2.00

Milled Trona NSR = 1.40

For a baghouse at the target 70% removal —

Unmilled Trona NSR = 1.90

Milled Trona NSR = 1.50

The algorithm identifies the maximum expected HCl removal based on SO₂ removal. The HCl removal should be limited to achieve 0.002 lb HCl/MBtu to meet the Mercury Air Toxics (MATS) regulation. The hydrated lime algorithm should be used only for the HCl removal requirement. For hydrated lime injection systems, the SO₂ removal should be limited to 20% to achieve maximum HCl removal.

The correlation could be further simplified by assuming that only milled Trona is used. The current trend in the industry is to use in-line milling of the Trona to improve its utilization. For a minor increase in capital, milling can greatly reduce the variable operating expenses, thus it is recommended that only milled Trona be considered in the simplified algorithm.

Outputs

Total Project Costs (TPC)

First, the base installed cost for the complete DSI system is calculated (BM). The base installed cost includes the following:

- All equipment,
- Installation.
- Buildings,
- Foundations,
- Electrical, and
- Average retrofit difficulty.

The base module cost is adjusted by the selection of in-line milling equipment. The base installed cost is then increased by the following:

DSI Cost Methodology

- Engineering and construction management costs at 10% of the BM cost;
- Labor adjustment for 6 x 10-hour shift premium, per diem, etc., at 5% of the BM cost; and
- Contractor profit and fees at 5% of the BM cost.

A capital, engineering, and construction cost subtotal (CECC) is established as the sum of the BM and the additional engineering and construction fees.

Additional costs and financing expenditures for the project are computed based on the CECC. Financing and additional project costs include the following:

- Owner's home office costs (owner's engineering, management, and procurement) are added at 5% of the CECC.
- Allowance for Funds Used During Construction (AFUDC) is added at 0% of the CECC and owner's costs because these projects are expected to be completed in less than a year.

The total project cost is based on a multiple lump-sum contract approach. Should a turnkey engineering procurement construction (EPC) contract be executed, the total project cost could be 10 to 15% higher than what is currently estimated.

Escalation is not included in the estimate. The total project cost (TPC) is the sum of the CECC and the additional costs and financing expenditures.

Fixed O&M (FOM)

The fixed operating and maintenance (O&M) cost is a function of the additional operations staff (FOMO), maintenance labor and materials (FOMM), and administrative labor (FOMA) associated with the DSI installation. The FOM is the sum of the FOMO, FOMM, and FOMA.

The following factors and assumptions underlie calculations of the FOM:

- All of the FOM costs are tabulated on a per-kilowatt-year (kW-yr) basis.
- In general, 2 additional operators are required for a DSI system. The FOMO is based on the number of additional operations staff required.
- The fixed maintenance materials and labor is a direct function of the process capital cost (BM).
- The administrative labor is a function of the FOMO and FOMM.

DSI Cost Methodology

Variable O&M (VOM)

Variable O&M is a function of the following:

- Reagent use and unit costs,
- Waste production and unit disposal costs, and
- Additional power required and unit power cost.

The following factors and assumptions underlie calculations of the VOM:

- All of the VOM costs are tabulated on a per megawatt-hour (MWh) basis.
- The additional power required includes increased fan power to account for the added DSI system and, as applicable, air blowers and transport-air drying equipment for the SO₂ mitigation system.
- The additional power is reported as a percentage of the total unit gross production. In addition, a cost associated with the additional power requirements can be included in the total variable costs.
- The reagent usage is a function of NSR and the required SO₂ removal. The estimated NSR is a function of the removal efficiency required. The basis for total reagent rate purity is 95% for hydrated lime and 98% for Trona.
- The waste-generation rate, which is based on the reaction of Trona or hydrated lime with SO₂, is a function of the sorbent feed rate. The waste-generation rate is also adjusted for excess sorbent fed. The reaction products in the waste for hydrated lime and Trona mainly contain CaSO₄ and Na₂SO₄ and unreacted dry sorbent such as Ca(OH)₂ and Na₂CO₃, respectively.
- The user can remove fly ash disposal volume from the waste disposal cost to reflect the situation where the unit has separate particulate capture devices for fly ash and dry sorbent.
- If Trona is the selected sorbent, the fly ash captured with this sodium sorbent in the same particulate control device must be landfilled. Typical ash content for each fuel is used to calculate a total fly ash production rate. The fly ash production is added to the sorbent waste to account for a total waste stream in the O&M analysis.

DSI Cost Methodology

Input options are provided for the user to adjust the variable O&M costs per unit. Average default values are included in the base estimate. The variable O&M costs per unit options are as follows:

- Reagent cost in \$/ton.
- Waste disposal costs in \$/ton that should vary with the type of waste being disposed.
- Auxiliary power cost in \$/kWh; no noticeable escalation has been observed for auxiliary power cost since 2012.
- Operating labor rate (including all benefits) in \$/hr.

The variables that contribute to the overall VOM are:

VOMR = Variable O&M costs for reagent

VOMW = Variable O&M costs for waste disposal

VOMP = Variable O&M costs for additional auxiliary power

The total VOM is the sum of VOMR, VOMW, and VOMP. The additional auxiliary power requirement is also reported as a percentage of the total gross power of the unit. Table 1 contains an example of the complete capital and O&M cost estimate worksheet for a DSI installation with milled Trona injection ahead of an ESP. Table 2 contains an example of the complete capital and O&M cost estimate worksheet for a DSI installation with milled Trona injection ahead of a baghouse. Table 3 contains an example of the complete capital and O&M cost estimate worksheet for a DSI installation with unmilled Trona injection ahead of an ESP. Table 4 contains an example of the complete capital and O&M cost estimate worksheet for a DSI installation with unmilled Trona ahead of a baghouse. Table 5 contains an example of the complete capital and O&M cost estimate worksheet for a DSI installation with hydrated lime injection ahead of an ESP. Table 6 contains an example of the complete capital and O&M cost estimate worksheet for a DSI installation with hydrated lime ahead of a baghouse.

DSI Cost Methodology

Table 1. Example of a Complete Cost Estimate for a Milled Trona DSI System with an ESP

Variable	Designation	Units	Value	Calculation
Unit Size (Gross)	A	(MW)	500	<--- User Input
Retrofit Factor	B		1	<--- User Input (An "average" retrofit has a factor = 1.0)
Gross Heat Rate	C	(Btu/kWh)	9500	<--- User Input
SO2 Rate	D	(lb/MMBtu)	2	<--- User Input
Type of Coal	E		Bituminous	<--- User Input
Particulate Capture	F		ESP	<--- User Input
Sorbent	G		Milled Trona	<--- User Input
Removal Target	H	(%)	50	Maximum Removal Targets: Unmilled Trona with an ESP = 85% Milled Trona with an ESP = 80% Unmilled Trona with a BGH = 80% Milled Trona with a BGH = 90% Hydrated Lime with an ESP = 30% Hydrated Lime with a BGH = 50%
Heat Input	J	(Btu/hr)	4.75E+09	A*C*1000
NSR	K		1.43	Unmilled Trona with an ESP = if (H<40,0.0350*H,0.352e*(0.0345*H)) Milled Trona with an ESP = if (H<40,0.0270*H,0.353e*(0.0280*H)) Unmilled Trona with a BGH = if (H<40,0.0215*H,0.295e*(0.0267*H)) Milled Trona with a BGH = if (H<40,0.0160*H,0.208e*(0.0281*H)) Hydrated Lime with an ESP = 0.504*H*0.3905 Hydrated Lime with a BGH = 0.0087*H*0.6505
Sorbent Feed Rate	M	(ton/hr)	16.33	Trona = (1.2011 x 10^-08)*K*A*C*D Hydrated Lime = (6.0055 x 10^-07)*K*A*C*D
Estimated HCl Removal	V	(%)	93	Milled or Unmilled Trona with an ESP = 60.88*H*0.1061, or 0.002 lb/MBtu Milled or Unmilled Trona with a BGH = 84.598*H*0.0346 or 0.002 lb/MBtu Hydrated Lime with an ESP = 54.92*H*0.197 or 0.002 lb/MBtu Hydrated Lime with a BGH = 0.0085*H*99.12 or 0.002 lb/MBtu
Sorbent Waste Rate	N	(ton/hr)	13.12	Trona = (0.7387 + 0.00185*H/K)*M Lime = (1.00 + 0.00777*H/K)*M Waste product adjusted for a maximum inert content of 5% for Trona and 2% for Hydrated Lime.
Fly Ash Waste Rate Include in VOM? ☒	P	(ton/hr)	20.73	(A*C)*Ash in Coal*(1-Boiler Ash Removal)/(2*HHV) For Bituminous Coal: Ash in Coal = 0.12; Boiler Ash Removal = 0.2; HHV = 11000 For PRB Coal: Ash in Coal = 0.06; Boiler Ash Removal = 0.2; HHV = 8400 For Lignite Coal: Ash in Coal = 0.08; Boiler Ash Removal
Aux Power Include in VOM? ☒	Q	(%)	0.65	=if Milled Trona M*20/A else M*18/A
Sorbent Cost	R	(\$/ton)	170	<--- User Input (Trona = \$170, Hydrated Lime = \$150)
Waste Disposal Cost	S	(\$/ton)	50	<--- User Input (Disposal cost with fly ash = \$50. Without fly ash, the sorbent waste alone will be more difficult to dispose = \$100)
Aux Power Cost	T	(\$/kWh)	0.06	<--- User Input
Operating Labor Rate	U	(\$/hr)	60	<--- User Input (Labor cost including all benefits)

Costs are all based on 2016 dollars

Capital Cost Calculation	Example	Comments
Includes - Equipment, installation, buildings, foundations, electrical, and retrofit difficulty		
BM (\$) = Unmilled Trona or Hydrated Lime if (M>25 then (745,000*B*M) else 7,500,000*B*(M^0.284) Milled Trona if (M>25 then (820,000*B*M) else 8,300,000*B*(M^0.284)	\$ 18,348,000	Base module for unmilled sorbent includes all equipment from unloading to injection, including dehumidification system
BM (\$/kW) =	37	Base module cost per kW
Total Project Cost		
A1 = 10% of BM	\$ 1,835,000	Engineering and Construction Management costs
A2 = 5% of BM	\$ 917,000	Labor adjustment for 6 x 10 hour shift premium, per diem, etc...
A3 = 5% of BM	\$ 917,000	Contractor profit and fees
CECC (\$) - Excludes Owner's Costs = BM+A1+A2+A3	\$ 22,017,000	Capital, engineering and construction cost subtotal
CECC (\$/kW) - Excludes Owner's Costs =	44	Capital, engineering and construction cost subtotal per kW
B1 = 5% of CECC	\$ 1,101,000	Owners costs including all "home office" costs (owners engineering, management, and procurement activities)
TPC' (\$) - Includes Owner's Costs = CECC + B1	\$ 23,118,000	Total project cost without AFUDC
TPC' (\$/kW) - Includes Owner's Costs =	46	Total project cost per kW without AFUDC
B2 = 0% of (CECC + B1)	\$ -	AFUDC (Zero for less than 1 year engineering and construction cycle)
TPC (\$) = CECC + B1 + B2	\$ 23,118,000	Total project cost
TPC (\$/kW) =	46	Total project cost per kW
Fixed O&M Cost		
FOMO (\$/kW yr) = (2 additional operator)*2080*U/(A*1000)	\$ 0.50	Fixed O&M additional operating labor costs
FOMM (\$/kW yr) = BM*0.01/(B*A*1000)	\$ 0.37	Fixed O&M additional maintenance material and labor costs
FOMA (\$/kW yr) = 0.03*(FOMO+0.4*FOMM)	\$ 0.02	Fixed O&M additional administrative labor costs
FOM (\$/kW yr) = FOMO + FOMM + FOMA	\$ 0.89	Total Fixed O&M costs
Variable O&M Cost		
VOMR (\$/MWh) = M*R/A	\$ 5.55	Variable O&M costs for sorbent
VOMW (\$/MWh) = (N+P)*S/A	\$ 3.39	Variable O&M costs for waste disposal that includes both the sorbent and the fly ash waste not removed prior to the sorbent injection
VOMP (\$/MWh) = Q*T*10	\$ 0.39	Variable O&M costs for additional auxiliary power required (Refer to Aux Power % above)
VOM (\$/MWh) = VOMR + VOMW + VOMP	\$ 9.33	

DSI Cost Methodology

Table 2. Example of a Complete Cost Estimate for a Milled Trona DSI System with a Baghouse

Variable	Designation	Units	Value	Calculation
Unit Size (Gross)	A	(MW)	500	<--- User Input
Retrofit Factor	B		1	<--- User Input (An "average" retrofit has a factor = 1.0)
Gross Heat Rate	C	(Btu/kWh)	9500	<--- User Input
SO ₂ Rate	D	(lb/MMBtu)	2	<--- User Input
Type of Coal	E		Bituminous	<--- User Input
Particulate Capture	F		Baghouse	<--- User Input
Sorbent	G		Milled Trona	<--- User Input
Removal Target	H	(%)	50	Maximum Removal Targets: Unmilled Trona with an ESP = 85% Milled Trona with an ESP = 80% Unmilled Trona with a BGH = 80% Milled Trona with a BGH = 90% Hydrated Lime with an ESP = 30% Hydrated Lime with a BGH = 50%
Heat Input	J	(Btu/hr)	4.75E+09	A*C*1000
NSR	K		0.85	Unmilled Trona with an ESP = if (H<40,0.0350*H,0.352e*(0.0345*H)) Milled Trona with an ESP = if (H<40,0.0270*H,0.353e*(0.0280*H)) Unmilled Trona with a BGH = if (H<40,0.0215*H,0.295e*(0.0267*H)) Milled Trona with a BGH = if (H<40,0.0180*H,0.208e*(0.0281*H)) Hydrated Lime with an ESP = 0.504*H*0.3905 Hydrated Lime with a BGH = 0.0087*H+0.6505
Sorbent Feed Rate	M	(ton/hr)	9.67	Trona = (1.2011 x 10^-08)*K*A*C*D Hydrated Lime = (6.0055 x 10^-07)*K*A*C*D
Estimated HCl Removal	V	(%)	97	Milled or Unmilled Trona with an ESP = 80.86*H*0.1081, or 0.002 lb/MBtu Milled or Unmilled Trona with a BGH = 84.598*H*0.0346 or 0.002 lb/MBtu Hydrated Lime with an ESP = 54.92*H*0.197 or 0.002 lb/MBtu Hydrated Lime with a BGH = 0.0085*H+99.12 or 0.002 lb/MBtu
Sorbent Waste Rate	N	(ton/hr)	8.20	Trona = (0.7387 + 0.00185*H/K)*M Lime = (1.00 + 0.00777*H/K)*M Waste product adjusted for a maximum inert content of 5% for Trona and 2% for Hydrated Lime.
Fly Ash Waste Rate Include in VOM? ☒	P	(ton/hr)	20.73	(A/C)*Ash in Coal*(1-Boiler Ash Removal)/(2*HHV) For Bituminous Coal: Ash in Coal = 0.12; Boiler Ash Removal = 0.2; HHV = 11000 For PRB Coal: Ash in Coal = 0.06; Boiler Ash Removal = 0.2; HHV = 8400 For Lignite Coal: Ash in Coal = 0.08; Boiler Ash Removal
Aux Power Include in VOM? ☒	Q	(%)	0.39	=if Milled Trona M*20/A else M*18/A
Sorbent Cost	R	(\$/ton)	170	<--- User Input (Trona = \$170, Hydrated Lime = \$150)
Waste Disposal Cost	S	(\$/ton)	50	<--- User Input (Disposal cost with fly ash = \$50. Without fly ash, the sorbent waste alone will be more difficult to dispose = \$100)
Aux Power Cost	T	(\$/kWh)	0.06	<--- User Input
Operating Labor Rate	U	(\$/hr)	60	<--- User Input (Labor cost including all benefits)

Costs are all based on 2016 dollars

Capital Cost Calculation	Example	Comments
Includes - Equipment, installation, buildings, foundations, electrical, and retrofit difficulty		
BM (\$) = Unmilled Trona or Hydrated Lime if (M>25 then (745,000*B*M) else 7,500,000*B*(M^0.284) Milled Trona if (M>25 then (820,000*B*M) else 8,300,000*B*(M^0.284)	\$ 15,812,000	Base module for unmilled sorbent includes all equipment from unloading to injection, including dehumidification system
BM (\$/kW) =	32	Base module cost per kW
Total Project Cost		
A1 = 10% of BM	\$ 1,581,000	Engineering and Construction Management costs
A2 = 5% of BM	\$ 791,000	Labor adjustment for 8 x 10 hour shift premium, per diem, etc...
A3 = 5% of BM	\$ 791,000	Contractor profit and fees
CECC (\$) - Excludes Owner's Costs = BM+A1+A2+A3	\$ 18,975,000	Capital, engineering and construction cost subtotal
CECC (\$/kW) - Excludes Owner's Costs =	38	Capital, engineering and construction cost subtotal per kW
B1 = 5% of CECC	\$ 949,000	Owners costs including all "home office" costs (owners engineering, management, and procurement activities)
TPC' (\$) - Includes Owner's Costs = CECC + B1	\$ 19,924,000	Total project cost without AFUDC
TPC' (\$/kW) - Includes Owner's Costs =	40	Total project cost per kW without AFUDC
B2 = 0% of (CECC + B1)	\$ -	AFUDC (Zero for less than 1 year engineering and construction cycle)
TPC (\$) = CECC + B1 + B2	\$ 19,924,000	Total project cost
TPC (\$/kW) =	40	Total project cost per kW
Fixed O&M Cost		
FOMO (\$/kW yr) = (2 additional operator)*2080*U/(A*1000)	\$ 0.50	Fixed O&M additional operating labor costs
FOMM (\$/kW yr) = BM*0.01/(B*A*1000)	\$ 0.32	Fixed O&M additional maintenance material and labor costs
FOMA (\$/kW yr) = 0.03*(FOMO+0.4*FOMM)	\$ 0.02	Fixed O&M additional administrative labor costs
FOM (\$/kW yr) = FOMO + FOMM + FOMA	\$ 0.83	Total Fixed O&M costs
Variable O&M Cost		
VOMR (\$/MWh) = M*/R/A	\$ 3.29	Variable O&M costs for sorbent
VOMW (\$/MWh) = (N+P)*S/A	\$ 2.89	Variable O&M costs for waste disposal that includes both the sorbent and the fly ash waste not removed prior to the sorbent injection
VOMP (\$/MWh) = Q*T*10	\$ 0.23	Variable O&M costs for additional auxiliary power required (Refer to Aux Power % above)
VOM (\$/MWh) = VOMR + VOMW + VOMP	\$ 6.41	

DSI Cost Methodology

Table 3. Example of a Complete Cost Estimate for an Unmilled Trona DSI System with an ESP

Variable	Designation	Units	Value	Calculation
Unit Size (Gross)	A	(MW)	500	← User Input
Retrofit Factor	B		1	← User Input (An "average" retrofit has a factor = 1.0)
Gross Heat Rate	C	(Btu/kWh)	9500	← User Input
SO ₂ Rate	D	(lb/MMBtu)	2	← User Input
Type of Coal	E		Bituminous	← User Input
Particulate Capture	F		ESP	← User Input
Sorbent	G		Unmilled Trona	← User Input
Removal Target	H	(%)	50	Maximum Removal Targets: Unmilled Trona with an ESP = 65% Unmilled Trona with an ESP = 80% Unmilled Trona with a BGH = 80% Unmilled Trona with a BGH = 90% Hydrated Lime with an ESP = 30% Hydrated Lime with a BGH = 50%
Heat Input	J	(Btu/hr)	4.75E+09	A*C*1000
NSR	K		1.98	Unmilled Trona with an ESP = if (H<40,0.0350*H,0.352e*(0.0345*H)) Milled Trona with an ESP = if (H<40,0.0270*H,0.353e*(0.0280*H)) Unmilled Trona with a BGH = if (H<40,0.0215*H,0.295e*(0.0267*H)) Milled Trona with a BGH = if (H<40,0.0160*H,0.208e*(0.0281*H)) Hydrated Lime with an ESP = 0.504*H+0.3905 Hydrated Lime with a BGH = 0.0087*H+0.6505
Sorbent Feed Rate	M	(ton/hr)	22.54	Trona = (1.2011 x 10 ⁻⁶)*K*A*C*D Hydrated Lime = (6.0055 x 10 ⁻⁶)*K*A*C*D
Estimated HCl Removal	V	(%)	93	Milled or Unmilled Trona with an ESP = 60.86*H+0.1081, or 0.002 lb/MBtu Milled or Unmilled Trona with a BGH = 84.598*H+0.0346 or 0.002 lb/MBtu Hydrated Lime with an ESP = 54.92*H+0.197 or 0.002 lb/MBtu Hydrated Lime with a BGH = 0.0085*H+99.12 or 0.002 lb/MBtu
Sorbent Waste Rate	N	(ton/hr)	17.71	Trona = (0.7387 + 0.00185*H/K)*M Lime = (1.00 + 0.00777*H/K)*M Waste product adjusted for a maximum inert content of 5% for Trona and 2% for Hydrated Lime.
Fly Ash Waste Rate Include in VOM? ☒	P	(ton/hr)	20.73	(A/C)*Ash in Coal*(1-Boiler Ash Removal)/(2*HHV) For Bituminous Coal: Ash in Coal = 0.12; Boiler Ash Removal = 0.2; HHV = 11000 For PRB Coal: Ash in Coal = 0.06; Boiler Ash Removal = 0.2; HHV = 8400 For Lignite Coal: Ash in Coal = 0.08; Boiler Ash Removal = 0.2
Aux Power Include in VOM? ☒	Q	(%)	0.81	=if Milled Trona M*20/A else M*18/A
Sorbent Cost	R	(\$/ton)	225	← User Input (Trona = \$170, Hydrated Lime = \$150)
Waste Disposal Cost	S	(\$/ton)	50	← User Input (Disposal cost with fly ash = \$50. Without fly ash, the sorbent waste alone will be more difficult to dispose = \$100)
Aux Power Cost	T	(\$/kWh)	0.06	← User Input
Operating Labor Rate	U	(\$/hr)	60	← User Input (Labor cost including all benefits)

Costs are all based on 2016 dollars

Capital Cost Calculation	Example	Comments
Includes - Equipment, installation, buildings, foundations, electrical, and retrofit difficulty		
BM (\$) = Unmilled Trona or Hydrated Lime if (M>25 then (745,000*B*M) else 7,500,000*B*(M*0.284) Milled Trona if (M>25 then (820,000*B*M) else 8,300,000*B*(M*0.284)	\$ 18,168,000	Base module for unmilled sorbent includes all equipment from unloading to injection, including dehumidification system
BM (\$/kW) =	36	Base module cost per kW
Total Project Cost		
A1 = 10% of BM	\$ 1,817,000	Engineering and Construction Management costs
A2 = 5% of BM	\$ 908,000	Labor adjustment for 6 x 10 hour shift premium, per diem, etc...
A3 = 5% of BM	\$ 908,000	Contractor profit and fees
CECC (\$) - Excludes Owner's Costs = BM+A1+A2+A3	\$ 21,801,000	Capital, engineering and construction cost subtotal
CECC (\$/kW) - Excludes Owner's Costs =	44	Capital, engineering and construction cost subtotal per kW
B1 = 5% of CECC	\$ 1,090,000	Owners costs including all "home office" costs (owners engineering, management, and procurement activities)
TPC (\$) - Includes Owner's Costs = CECC + B1	\$ 22,891,000	Total project cost without AFUDC
TPC (\$/kW) - Includes Owner's Costs =	46	Total project cost per kW without AFUDC
B2 = 0% of (CECC + B1)	\$ -	AFUDC (Zero for less than 1 year engineering and construction cycle)
TPC (\$) = CECC + B1 + B2	\$ 22,891,000	Total project cost
TPC (\$/kW) =	46	Total project cost per kW
Fixed O&M Cost		
FOMO (\$/kW yr) = (2 additional operator)*2080*U/(A*1000)	\$ 0.50	Fixed O&M additional operating labor costs
FOMM (\$/kW yr) = BM*0.01/(B*A*1000)	\$ 0.36	Fixed O&M additional maintenance material and labor costs
FOMA (\$/kW yr) = 0.03*(FOMO+0.4*FOMM)	\$ 0.02	Fixed O&M additional administrative labor costs
FOM (\$/kW yr) = FOMO + FOMM + FOMA	\$ 0.88	Total Fixed O&M costs
Variable O&M Cost		
VOMR (\$/MWh) = M*R/A	\$ 10.14	Variable O&M costs for sorbent
VOMW (\$/MWh) = (N+P)*S/A	\$ 3.84	Variable O&M costs for waste disposal that includes both the sorbent and the fly ash waste not removed prior to the sorbent injection
VOMP (\$/MWh) = Q*T*10	\$ 0.49	Variable O&M costs for additional auxiliary power required (Refer to Aux Power % above)
VOM (\$/MWh) = VOMR + VOMW + VOMP	\$ 14.47	

DSI Cost Methodology

Table 4. Example of a Complete Cost Estimate for an Unmilled Trona DSI System with a Baghouse

Variable	Designation	Units	Value	Calculation
Unit Size (Gross)	A	(MW)	500	<--- User Input
Retrofit Factor	B		1	<--- User Input (An "average" retrofit has a factor = 1.0)
Gross Heat Rate	C	(Btu/kWh)	9500	<--- User Input
SO ₂ Rate	D	(lb/MMBtu)	2	<--- User Input
Type of Coal	E		Bituminous	<--- User Input
Particulate Capture	F		Baghouse	<--- User Input
Sorbent	G		Unmilled Trona	<--- User Input
Removal Target	H	(%)	50	Maximum Removal Targets: Unmilled Trona with an ESP = 65% Milled Trona with an ESP = 80% Unmilled Trona with a BGH = 80% Milled Trona with a BGH = 90% Hydrated Lime with an ESP = 30% Hydrated Lime with a BGH = 50%
Heat Input	J	(Btu/hr)	4.75E+09	A*C*1000
NSR	K		1.12	Unmilled Trona with an ESP = if (H<40,0.0350*H,0.352e*(0.0345*H)) Milled Trona with an ESP = if (H<40,0.0270*H,0.353e*(0.0280*H)) Unmilled Trona with a BGH = if (H<40,0.0215*H,0.295e*(0.0267*H)) Milled Trona with a BGH = if (H<40,0.0160*H,0.208e*(0.0281*H)) Hydrated Lime with an ESP = 0.504*H*0.3905 Hydrated Lime with a BGH = 0.0087*H*0.8505
Sorbent Feed Rate	M	(ton/hr)	12.79	Trona = (1.2011 x 10^-06)*K*A*C*D Hydrated Lime = (6.0065 x 10^-07)*K*A*C*D
Estimated HCl Removal	V	(%)	97	Milled or Unmilled Trona with an ESP = 80.86*H*0.1081, or 0.002 lb/MBtu Milled or Unmilled Trona with a BGH = 84.568*H*0.0348 or 0.002 lb/MBtu Hydrated Lime with an ESP = 54.92*H*0.197 or 0.002 lb/MBtu Hydrated Lime with a BGH = 0.0085*H*99.12 or 0.002 lb/MBtu
Sorbent Waste Rate	N	(ton/hr)	10.50	Trona = (0.7387 + 0.00185*H/K)*M Lime = (1.00 + 0.00777*H/K)*M Waste product adjusted for a maximum inert content of 5% for Trona and 2% for Hydrated Lime.
Fly Ash Waste Rate Include in VOM? ☒	P	(ton/hr)	20.73	(A/C)*Ash in Coal*(1-Boiler Ash Removal)/(2*HHV) For Bituminous Coal: Ash in Coal = 0.12; Boiler Ash Removal = 0.2; HHV = 11000 For PRB Coal: Ash in Coal = 0.06; Boiler Ash Removal = 0.2; HHV = 8400 For Lignite Coal: Ash in Coal = 0.08; Boiler Ash Removal = 0.2
Aux Power Include in VOM? ☒	Q	(%)	0.46	=if Milled Trona M*20/A else M*18/A
Sorbent Cost	R	(\$/ton)	225	<--- User Input (Trona = \$170, Hydrated Lime = \$150)
Waste Disposal Cost	S	(\$/ton)	50	<--- User Input (Disposal cost with fly ash = \$50. Without fly ash, the sorbent waste alone will be more difficult to dispose = \$100)
Aux Power Cost	T	(\$/kWh)	0.06	<--- User Input
Operating Labor Rate	U	(\$/hr)	60	<--- User Input (Labor cost including all benefits)

Costs are all based on 2016 dollars

Capital Cost Calculation	Example	Comments
Includes - Equipment, installation, buildings, foundations, electrical, and retrofit difficulty		
BM (\$) = Unmilled Trona or Hydrated Lime if (M>25 then (745,000*B*M) else 7,500,000*B*(M^0.284) Milled Trona if (M>25 then (820,000*B*M) else 8,300,000*B*(M^0.284)	\$ 15,468,000	Base module for unmilled sorbent includes all equipment from unloading to injection, including dehumidification system
BM (\$/kW) =	31	Base module cost per kW
Total Project Cost		
A1 = 10% of BM	\$ 1,547,000	Engineering and Construction Management costs
A2 = 5% of BM	\$ 773,000	Labor adjustment for 8 x 10 hour shift premium, per diem, etc...
A3 = 5% of BM	\$ 773,000	Contractor profit and fees
CECC (\$) - Excludes Owner's Costs = BM+A1+A2+A3	\$ 18,561,000	Capital, engineering and construction cost subtotal
CECC (\$/kW) - Excludes Owner's Costs =	37	Capital, engineering and construction cost subtotal per kW
B1 = 5% of CECC	\$ 928,000	Owners costs including all "home office" costs (owners engineering, management, and procurement activities)
TPC' (\$) - Includes Owner's Costs = CECC + B1	\$ 19,489,000	Total project cost without AFUDC
TPC' (\$/kW) - Includes Owner's Costs =	39	Total project cost per kW without AFUDC
B2 = 0% of (CECC + B1)	\$ -	AFUDC (Zero for less than 1 year engineering and construction cycle)
TPC (\$) = CECC + B1 + B2	\$ 19,489,000	Total project cost
TPC (\$/kW) =	39	Total project cost per kW
Fixed O&M Cost		
FOMO (\$/kW yr) = (2 additional operator)*2080*U/(A*1000)	\$ 0.50	Fixed O&M additional operating labor costs
FOMM (\$/kW yr) = BM*0.01/(B*A*1000)	\$ 0.31	Fixed O&M additional maintenance material and labor costs
FOMA (\$/kW yr) = 0.03*(FOMO+0.4*FOMM)	\$ 0.02	Fixed O&M additional administrative labor costs
FOM (\$/kW yr) = FOMO + FOMM + FOMA	\$ 0.83	Total Fixed O&M costs
Variable O&M Cost		
VOMR (\$/MWh) = M*R/A	\$ 5.76	Variable O&M costs for sorbent
VOMW (\$/MWh) = (N+P)*S/A	\$ 3.12	Variable O&M costs for waste disposal that includes both the sorbent and the fly ash waste not removed prior to the sorbent injection
VOMP (\$/MWh) = Q*T*10	\$ 0.28	Variable O&M costs for additional auxiliary power required (Refer to Aux Power % above)
VOM (\$/MWh) = VOMR + VOMW + VOMP	\$ 9.16	

DSI Cost Methodology

Table 5. Example of a Complete Cost Estimate for a Hydrated Lime DSI System with an ESP

Variable	Designation	Units	Value	Calculation
Unit Size (Gross)	A	(MW)	500	<-- User Input
Retrofit Factor	B		1	<-- User Input (An "average" retrofit has a factor = 1.0)
Gross Heat Rate	C	(Btu/kWh)	9500	<-- User Input
SO ₂ Rate	D	(lb/MMBtu)	2	<-- User Input
Type of Coal	E		Bituminous	<-- User Input
Particulate Capture	F		ESP	<-- User Input
Sorbent	G		Hydrated Lime	<-- User Input
Removal Target	H	(%)	30	Maximum Removal Targets: Unmilled Trona with an ESP = 85% Milled Trona with an ESP = 80% Unmilled Trona with a BGH = 80% Milled Trona with a BGH = 90% Hydrated Lime with an ESP = 30% Hydrated Lime with a BGH = 50%
Heat Input	J	(Btu/hr)	4.75E+09	A*C*1000
NSR	K		1.90	Unmilled Trona with an ESP = if (H<40,0.0350*H,0.352e*(0.0345*H)) Milled Trona with an ESP = if (H<40,0.0270*H,0.353e*(0.0280*H)) Unmilled Trona with a BGH = if (H<40,0.0215*H,0.295e*(0.0267*H)) Milled Trona with a BGH = if (H<40,0.0180*H,0.208e*(0.0281*H)) Hydrated Lime with an ESP = 0.504*H*0.3905 Hydrated Lime with a BGH = 0.0087*H+0.8505
Sorbent Feed Rate	M	(ton/hr)	10.85	Trona = (1.2011 x 10^-06)*K*A*C*D Hydrated Lime = (8.0055 x 10^-07)*K*A*C*D
Estimated HCl Removal	V	(%)	95	Milled or Unmilled Trona with an ESP = 60.86*H*0.1081, or 0.002 lb/MBtu Milled or Unmilled Trona with a BGH = 84.598*H*0.0346 or 0.002 lb/MBtu Hydrated Lime with an ESP = 54.92*H*0.197 or 0.002 lb/MBtu Hydrated Lime with a BGH = 0.0085*H+99.12 or 0.002 lb/MBtu
Sorbent Waste Rate	N	(ton/hr)	12.18	Trona = (0.7387 + 0.00185*H/K)*M Lime = (1.00 + 0.00777*H/K)*M Waste product adjusted for a maximum inert content of 5% for Trona and 2% for Hydrated Lime.
Fly Ash Waste Rate Include in VOM? ☒	P	(ton/hr)	20.73	(A/C)*Ash in Coal*(1-Boiler Ash Removal)/(2*HHV) For Bituminous Coal: Ash in Coal = 0.12; Boiler Ash Removal = 0.2; HHV = 11000 For PRB Coal: Ash in Coal = 0.06; Boiler Ash Removal = 0.2; HHV = 8400 For Lignite Coal: Ash in Coal = 0.08; Boiler Ash Removal
Aux Power Include in VOM? ☒	Q	(%)	0.39	=if Milled Trona M*20/A else M*18/A
Sorbent Cost	R	(\$/ton)	150	<-- User Input (Trona = \$170, Hydrated Lime = \$150)
Waste Disposal Cost	S	(\$/ton)	50	<-- User Input (Disposal cost with fly ash = \$50. Without fly ash, the sorbent waste alone will be more difficult to dispose = \$100)
Aux Power Cost	T	(\$/kWh)	0.06	<-- User Input
Operating Labor Rate	U	(\$/hr)	60	<-- User Input (Labor cost including all benefits)

Costs are all based on 2016 dollars

Capital Cost Calculation

Includes - Equipment, installation, buildings, foundations, electrical, and retrofit difficulty

BM (\$) = Unmilled Trona or Hydrated Lime if (M>25 then (745,000*B*M) else 7,500,000*B*(M^0.284)
Milled Trona if (M>25 then (820,000*B*M) else 8,300,000*B*(M^0.284)

BM (\$/kW) =

Total Project Cost

A1 = 10% of BM

A2 = 5% of BM

A3 = 5% of BM

CECC (\$) - Excludes Owner's Costs = BM+A1+A2+A3

CECC (\$/kW) - Excludes Owner's Costs =

B1 = 5% of CECC

TPC' (\$) - Includes Owner's Costs = CECC + B1

TPC' (\$/kW) - Includes Owner's Costs =

B2 = 0% of (CECC + B1)

TPC (\$) = CECC + B1 + B2

TPC (\$/kW) =

Fixed O&M Cost

FOMO (\$/kW yr) = (2 additional operator)*2080*U/(A*1000)

FOMM (\$/kW yr) = BM*0.01/(B*A*1000)

FOMA (\$/kW yr) = 0.03*(FOMO+0.4*FOMM)

FOM (\$/kW yr) = FOMO + FOMM + FOMA

Variable O&M Cost

VOMR (\$/MWh) = M*R/A

VOMW (\$/MWh) = (N+P)*S/A

VOMP (\$/MWh) = Q*T*10

VOM (\$/MWh) = VOMR + VOMW + VOMP

Example

Comments

\$	14,762,000	Base module for unmilled sorbent includes all equipment from unloading to injection, including dehumidification system
	30	Base module cost per kW
\$	1,476,000	Engineering and Construction Management costs
\$	738,000	Labor adjustment for 6 x 10 hour shift premium, per diem, etc...
\$	738,000	Contractor profit and fees
\$	17,714,000	Capital, engineering and construction cost subtotal
	35	Capital, engineering and construction cost subtotal per kW
\$	886,000	Owners costs including all "home office" costs (owners engineering, management, and procurement activities)
\$	18,600,000	Total project cost without AFUDC
	37	Total project cost per kW without AFUDC
\$	-	AFUDC (Zero for less than 1 year engineering and construction cycle)
\$	18,600,000	Total project cost
	37	Total project cost per kW
\$	0.50	Fixed O&M additional operating labor costs
\$	0.30	Fixed O&M additional maintenance material and labor costs
\$	0.02	Fixed O&M additional administrative labor costs
\$	0.81	Total Fixed O&M costs
\$	3.28	Variable O&M costs for sorbent
\$	3.29	Variable O&M costs for waste disposal that includes both the sorbent and the fly ash waste not removed prior to the sorbent injection
\$	0.23	Variable O&M costs for additional auxiliary power required (Refer to Aux Power % above)
\$	6.78	

DSI Cost Methodology

Table 6. Example of a Complete Cost Estimate for a Hydrated Lime DSI System with a Baghouse

Variable	Designation	Units	Value	Calculation
Unit Size (Gross)	A	(MW)	500	<--- User Input
Retrofit Factor	B		1	<--- User Input (An "average" retrofit has a factor = 1.0)
Gross Heat Rate	C	(Btu/kWh)	9500	<--- User Input
SO ₂ Rate	D	(lb/MMBtu)	2	<--- User Input
Type of Coal	E		Bituminous	<--- User Input
Particulate Capture	F		Baghouse	<--- User Input
Sorbent	G		Hydrated Lime	<--- User Input
Removal Target	H	(%)	50	Maximum Removal Targets: Unmilled Trona with an ESP = 65% Milled Trona with an ESP = 80% Unmilled Trona with a BGH = 80% Milled Trona with a BGH = 90% Hydrated Lime with an ESP = 30% Hydrated Lime with a BGH = 50%
Heat Input	J	(Btu/hr)	4.75E+06	A*C*1000
NSR	K		1.09	Unmilled Trona with an ESP = if (H<40,0.0350*H,0.352e*(0.0345*H)) Milled Trona with an ESP = if (H<40,0.0270*H,0.353e*(0.0280*H)) Unmilled Trona with a BGH = if (H<40,0.0215*H,0.295e*(0.0287*H)) Milled Trona with a BGH = if (H<40,0.0180*H,0.208e*(0.0281*H)) Hydrated Lime with an ESP = 0.504*H+0.3905 Hydrated Lime with a BGH = 0.0087*H+0.6505
Sorbent Feed Rate	M	(ton/hr)	6.19	Trona = (1.2011 x 10 ⁻⁰⁸)*K*A*C*D Hydrated Lime = (6.0055 x 10 ⁻⁰⁷)*K*A*C*D
Estimated HCl Removal	V	(%)	99	Milled or Unmilled Trona with an ESP = 80.86*H+0.1081, or 0.002 lb/MBtu Milled or Unmilled Trona with a BGH = 84.598*H+0.0346 or 0.002 lb/MBtu Hydrated Lime with an ESP = 54.92*H+0.197 or 0.002 lb/MBtu Hydrated Lime with a BGH = 0.0085*H+99.12 or 0.002 lb/MBtu
Sorbent Waste Rate	N	(ton/hr)	8.41	Trona = (0.7387 + 0.00185*H/K)*M Lime = (1.00 + 0.00777*H/K)*M Waste product adjusted for a maximum inert content of 5% for Trona and 2% for Hydrated Lime.
Fly Ash Waste Rate Include in VOM? ☒	P	(ton/hr)	20.73	(A*C)*Ash in Coal*(1-Boiler Ash Removal)/(2*HHV) For Bituminous Coal: Ash in Coal = 0.12; Boiler Ash Removal = 0.2; HHV = 11000 For PRB Coal: Ash in Coal = 0.06; Boiler Ash Removal = 0.2; HHV = 8400 For Lignite Coal: Ash in Coal = 0.08; Boiler Ash Removal
Aux Power Include in VOM? ☒	Q	(%)	0.22	=if Milled Trona M*20/A else M*18/A
Sorbent Cost	R	(\$/ton)	150	<--- User Input (Trona = \$170, Hydrated Lime = \$150)
Waste Disposal Cost	S	(\$/ton)	50	<--- User Input (Disposal cost with fly ash = \$50. Without fly ash, the sorbent waste alone will be more difficult to dispose = \$100)
Aux Power Cost	T	(\$/kWh)	0.06	<--- User Input
Operating Labor Rate	U	(\$/hr)	60	<--- User Input (Labor cost including all benefits)

Costs are all based on 2016 dollars

Capital Cost Calculation	Example	Comments
Includes - Equipment, installation, buildings, foundations, electrical, and retrofit difficulty		
BM (\$) = Unmilled Trona or Hydrated Lime if (M>25 then (745,000*B*M) else 7,500,000*B*(M^0.284) Milled Trona if (M>25 then (820,000*B*M) else 8,300,000*B*(M^0.284)	\$ 12,588,000	Base module for unmilled sorbent includes all equipment from unloading to injection, including dehumidification system
BM (\$/kW) =	25	Base module cost per kW
Total Project Cost		
A1 = 10% of BM	\$ 1,258,000	Engineering and Construction Management costs
A2 = 5% of BM	\$ 629,000	Labor adjustment for 8 x 10 hour shift premium, per diem, etc...
A3 = 5% of BM	\$ 629,000	Contractor profit and fees
CECC (\$) - Excludes Owner's Costs = BM+A1+A2+A3	\$ 15,105,000	Capital, engineering and construction cost subtotal
CECC (\$/kW) - Excludes Owner's Costs =	30	Capital, engineering and construction cost subtotal per kW
B1 = 5% of CECC	\$ 755,000	Owners costs including all "home office" costs (owners engineering, management, and procurement activities)
TPC' (\$) - Includes Owner's Costs = CECC + B1	\$ 15,860,000	Total project cost without AFUDC
TPC' (\$/kW) - Includes Owner's Costs =	32	Total project cost per kW without AFUDC
B2 = 0% of (CECC + B1)	\$ -	AFUDC (Zero for less than 1 year engineering and construction cycle)
TPC (\$) = CECC + B1 + B2	\$ 15,860,000	Total project cost
TPC (\$/kW) =	32	Total project cost per kW
Fixed O&M Cost		
FOMC (\$/kW yr) = (2 additional operator)*2080*U/(A*1000)	\$ 0.50	Fixed O&M additional operating labor costs
FOMM (\$/kW yr) = BM*0.01/(B*A*1000)	\$ 0.25	Fixed O&M additional maintenance material and labor costs
FOMA (\$/kW yr) = 0.03*(FOMC+0.4*FOMM)	\$ 0.02	Fixed O&M additional administrative labor costs
FOM (\$/kW yr) = FOMC + FOMM + FOMA	\$ 0.77	Total Fixed O&M costs
Variable O&M Cost		
VOMR (\$/MWh) = M*/R/A	\$ 1.86	Variable O&M costs for sorbent
VOMW (\$/MWh) = (N+P)*S/A	\$ 2.91	Variable O&M costs for waste disposal that includes both the sorbent and the fly ash waste not removed prior to the sorbent injection
VOMP (\$/MWh) = Q*T*10	\$ 0.13	Variable O&M costs for additional auxiliary power required (Refer to Aux Power % above)
VOM (\$/MWh) = VOMR + VOMW + VOMP	\$ 4.91	