Carbon Dioxide Capture and Storage: A Status Report

McIlvaine Company Hot Topic Hour

Howard Herzog August 26, 2010

## CCS Today

- All major components of a carbon capture and sequestration system are commercially available today.
  - Capture and compression
  - Transport
  - Injection
  - Monitoring
- However, there is no CCS industry even though the technological components of CCS are all in use somewhere in the economy, they do not currently function together in the way imagined as a pathway for reducing carbon emissions.

#### CO<sub>2</sub> Injection Projects Million Tonne per Year Scale

Project	Leader	Location	CO <sub>2</sub> Source	CO <sub>2</sub> Sink
Sleipner	Statoil	North Sea	Gas	Deep Brine
(1996)		Norway	Processing	Formation
Weyburn	Pan	Saskatchewan	Coal	EOR
(2000)	Canadian	Canada	Gasification	
In Salah (2004)	BP	Algeria	Gas Processing	Depleted Gas Reservoir
Snovit	Statoil	Barents Sea	Gas	Deep Brine
(2008)		Norway	Processing	Formation

## The Scale-up Challenge From Megatonnes to Gigatonnes

- We have yet to build a large-scale (>1Mt CO<sub>2</sub>/yr) power plant CCS demonstration
- In order to have a significant impact on climate change, we need to operate at the billion tonne (Gt) per year level
- This implies that 100s of power plants will need to capture and store their CO<sub>2</sub>

## Challenges for Scale-up

- Costs
- Infrastructure
- Subsurface Uncertainty
  - Capacity
  - Long-term Integrity
- Regulatory Framework
- Long-term Liability
- Public Acceptance

# Proposed US Demonstrations (with government support)

Company	Location	DOE Support (million \$)	Size	Technology	Fate
FutureGen	Meredosia, IL	1000	200 MW >1 MtCO <sub>2</sub> /yr	Oxy- Combustion	Saline Formation
Basin Electric	Beulah, ND	100	120 MW 1 MtCO <sub>2</sub> /yr	PCC HTC PurEnergy	EOR
Hydrogen Energy	Kern County, CA	308	390 MW 2 MtCO <sub>2</sub> /yr	IGCC Coal/PetCoke	EOR
AEP	New Haven, WV	334	235 MW 1.5 Mt CO <sub>2</sub> /yr	PCC Chilled NH <sub>3</sub>	Saline Formation
NRG Energy	Parish, TX	167	60 MW 0.4 Mt CO <sub>2</sub> /yr	PCC Fluor	EOR
Summit Energy	Midland- Odessa, TX	350	400 MW 2.7 MtCO <sub>2</sub> /yr	IGCC	EOR
Southern	Kemper County, MS	293	524 MW 3.4 MtCO <sub>2</sub> /yr	IGCC Transport Reactor	EOR

## Proposed US Industrial Demonstrations (with government support)

Company	Location	DOE Support (million \$)	Size	Source	Fate
Leucadia Energy	Lake Charles. LA	260	4.5 MtCO <sub>2</sub> /yr	New Methanol Plant	EOR
Air Products & Chemicals	Port Arthur, TX	253	1 MtCO <sub>2</sub> /yr	Existing Steam Methane Reformers	EOR
Archer Daniels Midland	Dacatur, IL	99	1 MtCO <sub>2</sub> /yr	Existing Ethanol Plant	Saline Formation

## Mountaineer AEP/Alstom

- West Virginia
- 100,000 tpy (30 MW<sub>th</sub>)
- Chilled Ammonia
- Storage in a saline formation



#### FutureGen

- 275 MW<sub>e</sub> IGCC coal plant with CCS
- Matton, IL
- Projected cost over \$2 billion
- Storage in saline formation



### FutureGen 2.0

- 200 MW<sub>e</sub> oxy-combustion coal plant with CCS (Ameren Energy Resources)
- New boiler, air separation unit, CO<sub>2</sub> purification and compression unit (Babcock & Wilcox, Air Liquide)
- Meredosia, IL
- Establish a regional CO<sub>2</sub> storage site in ???
- Establish and a CO<sub>2</sub> pipeline network from Meredosia to ??? (1 Mt/yr CO<sub>2</sub>)

## Southern Company Barry Steam Plant

#### • Feb 22, 2010

- Dropping CCPI project
- Will proceed with smaller tests
- Tight deadline
  - DOE wanted firm commitment by Feb 19
  - Not "sufficient time" to understand "financial ramifications"



## Moving CCS Forward

- In the near-term, the government needs to play a big role in technology push this is relatively cheap and can be done immediately matter of \$ either direct subsidies or policies to encourage private investments
- Ultimately, climate policy and/or regulation must create markets for low-C technologies
  - CCS uneconomic in today's markets costs money
  - CCS may be a least cost supplier in low-C markets saves money
- All 5 "Challenges for Large-Scale Deployment" are critical any one can defeat large-scale deployment. However, getting the right combination of "technology-push" and "market-pull" is going to be the real driver

#### **Contact Information**

Howard Herzog Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT) **Energy** Initiative Room E19-370L Cambridge, MA 02139 Phone: 617-253-0688 E-mail: hjherzog@mit.edu Web Site: sequestration.mit.edu